

MAR 6 - 1992

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20554

ALLEGHENY COMMUNICATIONS
GROUP, INC.

File No. BPH-910628MC

To: The Commission

REPLY OF EZ COMMUNICATIONS, INC. TO
OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE

If ever there were an example of the pot calling the kettle black, it is Allegheny Communications Group, Inc.'s (ACNI's) desperate effort to avoid the impact of the engineering material set forth by EZ in its petition to deny and reply pleadings, both of which properly address ACNI's engineering proposal as now filed with the Commission.

EZ had the right and the obligation to point out that ACNI's proposal was so flawed that it must be dismissed by the Commission. No specious arguments by ACNI about procedural matters can bootstrap it into compliance with the Commission's technical rules.

ACNI's pleadings on this subject continue to be without merit and must be dismissed at the same time the Commission takes such action with respect to its application.

Respectfully submitted,

EZ COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By Rainer K. Kraus
Rainer K. Kraus

Koteen & Naftalin
Suite 1000
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036
(202) 467-5700

Its Attorneys

March 6, 1992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Judy Cooper, a legal secretary in the law firm of Koteen & Naftalin, hereby certify that on the 6th day of March, 1992, copies of the foregoing "Reply of EZ Communications, Inc. to